

Panaji, 11th June, 2009 (Jyaistha 21, 1931)

SERIES II No. 11

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate
Ramakant B. Vernekar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Ramakant B. Vernekar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To

Shri Ramakant B. Vernekar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
F-5, Champa Vihar,
Near Popular High School,
Comba, Margao-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble

High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/367

Read: Letter dated 4-4-09 from Advocate
Mukund V. Pai.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Mukund V. Pai, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To

Shri Mukund V. Pai,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
S-4, Patto Centre
2nd Floor,
Patto, Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/371

Read: Letter dated 1-4-09 from Advocate G. K. Hegde Dessai.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri G. K. Hegde Dessai, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri G. K. Hegde Dessai,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Municipal Market,
Building No. 7, 1st Floor,
Quepem-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/372

Read: Letter dated 15-4-09 from Advocate A. A. Jog.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri A. A. Jog, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri A. A. Jog,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
101, Chandra Sadan,
Near Hotel Neptune,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/373

Read: Letter dated 1-4-09 from Advocate Nicholas P. Dias.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Nicholas P. Dias, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes

arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Nicholas P. Dias,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Magnum Residency,
Near Dempo College,
Next to Global Trust Bank,
Tonca, Miramar-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/374

Read: Letter dated 8-4-09 from Advocate A. C. P. Navelkar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri A. C. P. Navelkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri A. C. P. Navelkar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
11-Trionora Apartment,
Near Municipal Market,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/375

Read: Letter dated 3-4-09 from Advocate Ghanashyam Pai.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Ghanashyam Pai, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Ghanashyam Pai,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
A-1, F-12, First Floor,
Jairam Apartment,
Neugi Nagar, Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be

ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/377

Read: Letter dated 6-4-09 from Advocate R. P. Pednekar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri R. P. Pednekar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri R. P. Pednekar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
3-F-3, Second Floor,
Rani Pramila Arcade,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/378

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate Suhas Thali.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Suhas Thali, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar Co-operative of Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Suhas Thali,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
1st Floor, Sehna Apartment,
Near Mahalaxmi Temple,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate Kala Dalal.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Kala Dalal, Advocate,

as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Kala Dalal,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
C/o Dr. D. G. Dalal,
Valpoi, Satari-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/380

Read: Letter dated 1-4-09 from Advocate K. N. Rao.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri K. N. Rao, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri K. N. Rao,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
G-4, Mahalaxmi Apartment,
Near Vithal Mandir,
Comba, Margao-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/385

Read: Letter dated 1-4-09 from Advocate Sanjay M. Chodankar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Sanjay M. Chodankar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Sanjay M. Chodankar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
203, 2nd Floor, Damodar Phase I,
Near Gomant Vidya Niketan,
Margao-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa

Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/386

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate Rajesh Narvekar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Rajesh Narvekar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Rajesh Narvekar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Narvekar Chambers,
Near Central Bank,
Mapusa-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/386

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate S. V. Talauliker.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri S. V. Talauliker, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri S. V. Talauliker,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
9, Karma Paes Avenue, 2nd Floor,
Opp. Civil & Criminal Court,
Vasco-da-Gama, Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/387

Read: Letter dated 9-4-09 from Advocate Yeshwant V. Gauns.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa,

am pleased to appoint Shri Yeshwant V. Gauns, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.
Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Yeshwant V. Gauns,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
H. No. 121-A, Ward No. 5,
Nanus Road,
Valpoi, Satari-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/388

Read: Letter dated 30-3-09 from Advocate M. U. Kenkare.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri M. U. Kenkare, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District

of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri M. U. Kenkare,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
F-2, Ground Floor,
Sapana Enclave I,
Near Pai Hospital,
Vasco-da-Gama, Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/389

Read: Letter dated 7-4-09 from Advocate Uday Chodankar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Uday Chodankar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Uday Chodankar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Apna Bazar, D' Building,
2nd Floor, S9,
Behind Collector Office,
Margao-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/390

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate N. P. Gaunekar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri N. P. Gaunekar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri N. P. Gaunekar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
H. No. 497,
St. Francisco Ward,
Goa-Velha, Tiswadi-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate

Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/391

Read: Letter dated 4-4-09 from Advocate Sunil K. Shirsat.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Sunil K. Shirsat, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Sunil K. Shirsat,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Ansabhat, Mapusa,
Bardez-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/393

Read: Letter dated 1-4-09 from Advocate B. S. Gaunkar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri B. S. Gaunkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To

Shri B. S. Gaunkar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
850/A, Parvati Niwas,
Journalist Colony,
Alto-Betim, Bardez-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/394

Read: Letter dated 6-4-09 from Advocate Shivprasad V. Manerkar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Shivprasad V. Manerkar,

Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To

Shri Shivprasad V. Manerkar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Albuquerque Apts., 1st Floor,
Near Vaidya Hospital,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/395

Read: Letter dated 6-4-09 from Advocate Kishore L. Bhagat.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Kishore L. Bhagat, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Kishore L. Bhagat,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
H. No. 210, Deulwada,
P. O. Marcela-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/396

Read: Letter dated 4-4-09 from Advocate Premila Dias.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Mrs. Premila Dias, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Mrs. Premila Dias,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Behind Police Station,
Ansabhat, Mapusa-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be

ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/397

Read: Letter dated 3-4-09 from Advocate Anant C. Pansekar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Anant C. Pansekar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Anant C. Pansekar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
S-4, 2nd Floor, Fatima Chambers,
Dr. Atmaram Borker Road,
Opp. Hotel Samrat, Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/398

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate Vallabh Falari.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Vallabh Falari, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To

Shri Vallabh Falari,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Antil Peth F-10,
Paul Tower, Near Petrol Pump,
Bicholim-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/399

Read: Letter dated 8-4-09 from Advocate Rajkumar Naik.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Rajkumar Naik, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes

arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To

Shri Rajkumar Naik,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
1st Floor, Santa Palagia Bldg.,
Opp. Khalap Mansion,
Vasco-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/400

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate Sawli S. Arolkar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Mrs. Sawli S. Arolkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009

To
Mrs. Sawli S. Arolkar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Laxmi Parvati Arcade,
Near Canara Bank,
Bicholim-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/401

Read: Letter dated 6-4-09 from Advocate Nicksha P. Dias.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Mrs. Nicksha P. Dias, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Mrs. Nicksha P. Dias,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Near Wrundawan Hotel,
Taxi Square,
Mapusa-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa

Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/402

Read: Letter dated 6-4-09 from Advocate Shilpa V. Shirgaonkar.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Mrs. Shilpa V. Shirgaonkar, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Mrs. Shilpa V. Shirgaonkar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Lower Harvalem, H. No. 777,
Post Pratap Nagar,
Sankhali-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/403

Read: Letter dated 2-4-09 from Advocate Pukhraj R. Bumb.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Pukhraj R. Bumb, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To

Shri Pukhraj R. Bumb,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
905, Pundalik Nagar,
Alto Porvorim,
Bardez-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/404

Read: Letter dated 6-3-09 from Advocate Santosh Karwarkar.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Santosh Karwarkar,

Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To

Shri Santosh Karwarkar,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Shop No. 3, Ground Floor,
Commerce House Building,
Opp. Damios Pizza,
Margao-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/405

Read: Letter dated 9-4-09 from Advocate Amol Thali.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Amol Thali, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Amol Thali,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
302, 3rd Floor, Vagle Vision,
18th June Road,
Panaji-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/406

Read: Letter dated 10-4-09 from Advocate Vishnu R. Naik.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Vishnu R. Naik, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Vishnu R. Naik,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
Rudreshwar Colony,
Near Kadamba Bus Stop,
Lower Harvalem,
Sankhali-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate

Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Order

No. 48-8-2001/TS/RCS/III/407

Read: Letter dated 16-4-09 from Advocate Anand K. Dessai.

In exercise of powers conferred on me under sub-section (1) of Section 83 of the Goa Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 2009 and Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, P.K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Goa, am pleased to appoint Shri Anand K. Dessai, Advocate, as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central/Dairy/South/North Zone, Panaji/Ponda/Margao/Mapusa/Election Cell North/South District of State of Goa, as the case may be for the period from 11-05-2009 to 31-03-2010.

P. K. Patidar, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.

Panaji, 11th May, 2009.

To
Shri Anand K. Dessai,
Advocate/Registrar's Nominee,
"Anant" H. No. 2706,
Shankar Bhandari Marg,
Vidhyanager, Margao-Goa.

He is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidance of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of conclusion of argument in accordance with the judgment given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-8-2006.

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Education

—
Order

No. 1-(2)-24-2005/SE/Part/154

Ref.: Order No. 1(2)-24-2005/SE/Part/1146
dated 23-01-2008.

Government is pleased to extend the term of Shri Pandurang R. Nadkarni as State Project Director, Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan for further period of one year w.e.f. 23-01-09 to 22-01-2010 with annual increase of 5% in consolidated pay without any additional benefits, during his above extended period.

This issues with the concurrence of the Finance (Budget) Department vide their U. O. No. 1184 dated 5-11-2008.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. *Celsa Pinto*, ex officio Joint Secretary (School Education).

Panaji, 27th May, 2009.

◆◆◆
Department of Finance

Revenue & Expenditure Division

Directorate of Accounts

—
Order

No. DA/Admn/45-6/09-10/TR-572/16

The Government is pleased to order the transfer and posting of Shri Perves Gomes, Assistant Accounts Officer under Common Accounts Cadre working in the Directorate of Fisheries, Panaji-Goa to the Directorate of Accounts, Panaji with immediate effect on administrative grounds.

Shri Gomes, Assistant Accounts Officer shall hold the additional charge of the post of Assistant Accounts Officer in the Office of Directorate of Fisheries as a purely temporarily stop gap arrangement till the time regular Assistant Accounts Officer is posted in Directorate of Fisheries.

On joining their new assignments, the officers shall send CTC/Joining Report to this Directorate immediately for records.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rajan V. S. Kunkolienkar, Director of Accounts.

Panaji, 27th May, 2009.

Department of Labour

—
Order

No. 28/10/2009-LAB/611

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Nusi Hospital & Research Centre, Panzorconi, Cuncolim, Salcete, Goa and it's workmen represented by Goa Trade & Commercial Workers' Union in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said dispute");

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court-II of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7 of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Nusi Hospital & Research Centre, Panzorconi, Cuncolim, Salcete, Goa, in terminating the services of the below mentioned workmen, with effect from 06-04-2009 is legal and justified?

- (1) Anita Coutinho, Laboratory Technician.
- (2) Rama Pagi, Driver.
- (3) Rama Sherke, Driver.
- (4) Manu Sherke, Driver.
- (5) Walter Lobo, Driver.
- (6) Monicton Fernandes, Driver.
- (7) Dinesh Gaunkar, Maintenance.
- (8) Shubost D'Costa, Maintenance.
- (9) Sanjay Naik, Maintenance.
- (10) Deepak Kadam, Maintenance.
- (11) Deepak Naik, Maintenance.
- (12) Peity Travasso, Maintenance.
- (13) Hobert Fernandes, Maintenance.
- (14) Sudha Desai, Helper.
- (15) Santosh Naik, Helper.
- (16) Eligio Cauro, Helper.
- (17) Fiona Fernandes, Pharmacy Assistant.
- (18) Mahendra Gosavi, Pharmacy Assistant.
- (19) Alice D'Costa, Pharmacist.
- (20) Amit Namshikar, Nursing.
- (21) Shephali Powar, Nursing.
- (22) Florence Gracias, Reception.

- (23) Kranti Naik, Biomedical Technician.
 (24) Pratiksha Dessai, Biomedical Technician.

(2) If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

This issues in supersession of the order of even number dated 19-5-2009.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 29th May, 2009.

Order

No. 24/10/2007-LAB-Part/578

Government is pleased to condone the period/break in between Sr. Residency and regular appointment of Dr. Anita G. Naik, Jr. Anaesthetist working at E.S.I. Hospital as qualifying service from 30-04-1997 to 17-08-1997 for pension purpose only.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 28th May, 2009.

Order

No. 21/20/2000-Lab/583

Read: Order No. 21/20/2000-Lab dated 29-08-2008.

Government of Goa is pleased to extend the ad hoc appointment of Shri Vaman Pai Bhatkar, Labour Welfare Officer (Group 'B' Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 6,500-200-10,500 revised Pay Band 2- Rs. 9,300-34,800 with Grade Pay of Rs. 4,200/- in the office of the Commissioner of Labour and Employment, Panaji-Goa for a further period of six months w.e.f. 01-03-2009 to 31-08-2009.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 28th May, 2009.

Order

No. 22/2/2008-Lab/589

Read: Order No. 22/2/2008-Lab dated 08-10-2008.

Government of Goa is pleased to extend the ad hoc appointment of Shri Rajay S. Naik, Sr. Assistant Employment Officer (Group 'B' Gazetted)

in the pay scale of Rs. 5,500-175-9,000 revised Pay Band 2-Rs. 9,300-34,800 with Grade Pay of Rs. 4,200/- in the office of the Commissioner of Labour and Employment, Panaji-Goa for a further period of six months w.e.f. 08-04-2009 to 07-10-2009.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 28th May, 2009.

Order

No. 21/15/286-Lab/PF/594

Read: Order No. 21/15/86-Lab/PF dated 29-08-2008.

Government of Goa is pleased to extend the ad hoc appointment of Shri Rupesh Kothambikar, Assistant Labour Commissioner in the pay scale of Rs. 6,500-200-10,500 revised Pay Band 2- Rs. 9,300-34,800 with Grade Pay of Rs. 4,200/- (Group 'B' Gazetted) in the office of the Commissioner of Labour and Employment, Panaji-Goa for a further period of six months w.e.f. 01-03-2009 to 31-08-2009.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 28th May, 2009.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-LAB/436

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, at Panaji-Goa on 24-03-2009 in reference No. IT/34/01 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 27th April, 2009.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT-I AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Anuja Prabhudessai,
Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/34/01

Shri Prakash Mandrekar,
H. No. 54, Pale,
Usgao, Goa.

..... Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. MRF Ltd.,

Tisk, Usgao,

Ponda, Goa.

..... Employer/Party II

Workman/Party I is represented by Adv. V. Menezes.

Employer/Party II is represented by Adv. G. K. Sardessai.

A WARD

(Passed on this 24th day of March, 2009)

By order dated 28-05-2001, the Government of Goa has referred the following dispute for adjudication of this Tribunal.

"(1) Whether Shri Prakash Mandrekar was a workman within the definition of term "workman" under Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 of M/s. MRF Ltd., Tisk, Usgao, Ponda, Goa?

(2) Whether there was refusal of employment to Shri Prakash Mandrekar with effect from 10-6-1998, amounting to his termination of services?

(3) If so, to what relief Shri Prakash Mandrekar is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the said reference IT/34/01 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties. The Party I filed its claim statement at Exb. 3. The Party II filed its written statement at Exb. 4. The rejoinder is at Exb. 5.

3. The case of the Party I is that he was employed by the Party II as a temporary worker since 1981. He was working as a gardener and his duties included planting and watering trees like cashew, coconuts, acasha, etc. The Party I has stated that he was in continuous service more than 16 years. The Party I has stated that vide letter dated 11-7-97 he had demanded that he should be made permanent. The Party I has further claimed that the grievance of the Party I is that he was refused employment from 10-6-98. The Party I has stated that the action of the Party II is illegal and has therefore sought re-instatement with back wages, continuity in service and other consequential benefits.

4. The Party II has denied that the Party I was its employee and that it has refused employment to the Party I w.e.f. 10-6-98. The Party II has stated that since there was no employer employee relationship, this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain the reference.

4. Based on the aforesaid pleading following issues were framed:

- 1 Whether the Party I proves that he was a 'workman' within the definition of the term 'workman' under the I.D. Act, 1947, of the Party II?
- 2 Whether the Party I proves that the Party II refused employment to him from 10-6-98 and that the said refusal amounts to termination of service?
- 3 Whether the Party II proves that the reference is not maintainable for the reasons stated in para (a) to (e) of the written statement?
- 4 Whether the workman are entitled to any relief?
- 5 What Award?

5. Having raised the dispute the onus was on the Party I to prove that he was a workman and that he was refused employment w.e.f. 10-6-98. The Party I has not stepped into the witness box and has not adduced any evidence in support of the claim raised by him. This being the case the Party I has failed to prove that he is a workman and that he has been refused employment. Subsequently the Party I is not entitled for any relief.

Under the circumstances and in view of discussion supra, I pass the following order.

ORDER

It is hereby held that Shri Prakash Mandrekar has failed to prove that he was a workman within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the Act. The Party I has failed to prove that he was refused employment w.e.f. 10-6-98. The Party I is not entitled for any relief.

No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-

(A. Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-
Labour Court-I.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-LAB/436

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, at Paraji-Goa on 24-03-2009 in reference No. IT/56/03 is hereby

published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 27th April, 2009.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT-I AT PANAJI

(Before Smt. Anuja Prabhudessai,
Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/56/03

Workmen rep. by
Goa Municipal Workers Union,
Kangar Karyalaya,
5 Municipal Building,
St. Inez, Panaji-Goa. ... Workmen/Party I
V/s
Canacona Municipal
Council,
Canacona-Goa. ... Employer/Party II
Workmen/Party I workman in person.
Employer/ Party II is absent.

A WARD

(Passed on this 24th day of March, 2009)

By order dated 20-8-03, Government of Goa has referred the following dispute for adjudication of this Tribunal.

1. (1) Whether the demand of the Goa Municipal Workers Union for regularization of the following workmen on their respective completion of 240 days of continuous service is legal and justified?

Sr. No.	Name of the Employee	Designation	Date of appointment
(1)	Smt. Paru Lamani	Worker	01-06-1990
(2)	Shri Datta L. Naik	Worker	01-10-1991
(3)	Smt. Bharati A. Madgaonkar	L.D.C.	01-12-1992
(4)	Shri Santosh L. Komarpant	L.D.C.	01-03-1993
(5)	Shri Manoj B. Naik	Worker	15-03-1993

(2) If not, what relief the workpersons are entitled to?"

2. On receipt of the reference IT/56/03 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties. The claim statement was filed at Exb. 4.

3. The Party I/Workmen had claimed that they were employed by the Party II on daily wages. They had sought regularization of their services. However, their demand was not conceded by the Government. The Party I has stated that during the pendency of conciliation proceedings, the Party I had regularized their services with effect from 27-02-2003. The Party I/Workmen have stated that their past services from the date of initial application have not been considered for the purpose of pension. The Party I/Workmen claimed that they are entitled for regularization of their services from the date of their appointment.

4. During the pendency of the proceedings the Party I and Party II filed application at Exb. 12 & Exb. 13 stating that the matter has been settled in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement dated 20-11-2007 entered between the Union and the Party II/Council. The Party I have placed on records the said Memorandum of Settlement and have stated the matter may be disposed of in terms of the said settlement.

5. I have perused the Memorandum of Settlement dated 20-11-2007. A perusal of the applications at Exb. 12 and 13 clearly indicates that both parties have agreed to resolve the dispute in view of the terms of settlement dated 20-11-2007. Since the dispute has been amicably resolved and the Party I has no further claim against the Party II, it is not necessary to proceed further with the reference and hence I pass the following order.

ORDER

The parties have resolved their dispute in terms of the settlement dated 20-11-2007 entered between Party I and Party II. The dispute referred to this Tribunal no longer survives.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(A. Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-cum-
-Labour Court-I.

Notification

No. 28/1/2009-LAB/420

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I, at Panaji-Goa on 06-03-2009 in reference No. IT/43/03 is hereby

published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. S. Kudalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 21st April, 2009.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT
AT PANAJI

(Before Anuja Prabhudessai, Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/43/03

Workmen rep. by
Kadamba Kamgar Union,
T-1, Sindhur Bldg.,
Opp. Passport Office,
Panaji-Goa.

... Workmen/Party I

V/s

M/s. Kadamba Transport
Corporation Ltd.,
Bus Terminus,
Panaji-Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Workmen/Party I is represented by Adv. A. Kundaikar.

Employer/Party II is represented by Adv. C. J. Mane.

A WARD

(Passed on this 6th day of March, 2009)

By order dated 6-8-04, Government of Goa has referred the following dispute for adjudication of this Tribunal.

"(1) Whether the demand of Kadamba Kamgar Union for regularization of the following workpersons on their respective completion of 240 days of continuous service is legal and justified?

- 1 Shri Krishna Kunkolkar, Driver, B. No. 7096.
- 2 Shri Suryakant P. Sawant, Driver, B. No. 6193.
- 3 Shri Krishna Shirodkar, Driver, B. No. 3301.
- 4 Shri Suresh Lingudkar, Driver, B. No. 2712.
- 5 Shri Shrikrishna Bhonsle, Driver, B. No. 464555.
- 6 Shri Shrikant Shetgaonkar, Driver, B. No. 2687.
- 7 Shri Rajesh Phadte, Driver, B. No. 2425.
- 8 Shri Satchit S. Parab, Driver, B. No. 5326.
- 9 Shri Dattaprasad Naik, Driver, B. No. 2643.
10. Shri Kushali S. Phadte, Driver, B. No. 2797.

11. Shri Ganpat Haldankar, Driver, B. No. 3263.
12. Shri Vishnu Hirve, Driver, B. No. 6172.
13. Shri Sunil Volvoikar, Driver, B. No. 5194.
14. Shri Sandip P. Naik, Driver, B. No. 6068.
15. Shri Narayan G. Naik, Driver, B. No. 2578.
16. Shri Vithal Gawali, Driver, B. No. 5110.
17. Shri Gokuldas Mandrekar, Driver, B. No. 7611.
18. Shri Dattaram V. Parab, Driver, B. No. 4775.
19. Shri Deepak Kalangutkar, Driver, B. No. 4725.
20. Shri Shashikant Gaonkar, Driver, B. No. 7155.
21. Shri Sunil Tari, Driver, B. No. 2823.
22. Shri Dattaram P. Naik, Driver, B. No. 1322.
23. Shri Umesh Gosavi, B. No. 2934.
24. Shri Rohidas Gaonkar, Driver, B. No. 6640.
25. Shri Caitan Crasto, Driver, B. No. 5708.
26. Shri Anil Raikar, Driver, B. No. 2152.
27. Shri Bala Salgaonkar, Driver, B. No. 4071.
28. Shri Avdhut Prabhu, Driver, B. No. 982.

(2) If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

2. On receipt of the said reference IT/43/03 was registered. Notices were issued to both parties. The Party I filed its claim statement at Exb. 3. The Party II filed its written statement at Exb. 5. The rejoinder is at Exb. 6.

3. It is not in dispute that the Party II had issued advertisement, in the local newspapers for the posts of drivers. The Party I workmen have stated that their names were sponsored by the employment exchange. The Party I workmen were selected as heavy vehicle drivers. The Party I workmen have stated that they were appointed against existing vacancies and they were recruited for permanent work. The services of the drivers were regularized in batches from 2001 to 2002. The contention of the Party I drivers is that they were entitled to be regularized on completion of continuous service of 240 days. The Party I drivers have stated that the drivers who were regularized in the first batch i.e. in the year 2001 are drawing higher salary as compared to the Party I drivers who were regularized subsequently. The Party I drivers have claimed that they have sustained monetary loss on account of the delay in regularizing of their services and that fixing pay scale in batches is illegal and amounts to victimization and unfair labour practice. The Party I workmen have stated that they are entitled for regularization of services on completion of 240 days of continuous service, with all consequential regularization benefits.

4. The Party II has stated that the appointment of the drivers was necessitated on account of temporary increase in the workload. The Party II has stated that the services of these workmen were regularized depending upon availability of posts and on the basis of satisfactory work performance. The Party II has denied that it has indulged in unfair labour practice or victimization. The Party II has denied that the services of Party I drivers had to be regularized on completion of 240 days of continuous service.

5. Based on the aforesaid pleading, following issues were framed:

1. Whether the Party I/union proves that its demand for regularization of the services of the workmen named in the reference is legal and unjustified?
2. Whether the workmen are entitled to any relief?
3. What Award?

6. Learned Advocate, Shri Kundaikar has argued that pursuant to the advertisement issued by the Party II, several drivers were appointed on permanent basis. He has argued that some of these drivers were regularized in June, 2001 while the Party I services wereregularized subsequently in different batches. He has argued that regularization of services in batches of the similarly placed workmen has resulted in disparity in the wages drawn by the drivers. He has argued that the Party I drivers were entitled for regularization on completion on 240 days.

7. Learned Adv., Shri Mane has argued on behalf of the Party II Corporation. He has argued that the drivers were appointed on daily wages due to temporary increase in the workload. He has argued that though these drivers were appointed on daily wages, they were given all benefits which were given to the permanent employees and that their services have been regularized depending upon the availability of posts and satisfactory work performance. He has argued that the Party I drivers are not entitled for regularization on completion of 240 days of service. I have perused the records and considered the arguments advanced by the respective parties and my findings on the issues are as under.

8 *Issue No. 1:* It is not in dispute that the Party I had issued an advertisement in local daily at Exb. 18 stating that it required heavy vehicle drivers. The advertisement stated that initially the

appointment would be on daily wages for three months and thereafter would be made on regular basis subject to satisfactory performance. The Party I has stated pursuant to this advertisement the names of the Party I/Workmen drivers were sponsored by the employment exchange for the posts of drivers and they were selected and were appointed by the Party II on daily wages of Rs. 100/- per day of actual work. The evidence of Avinash Rawal, the president of the union indicates that the Party I drivers were appointed in March, April, 1999 and July, 2000 and the services of these Party I drivers wereregularized in batches. Some of these drivers were regularized on 31-8-01 and some were regularized on 15-1-02. AW -1 Shri Avinash Rawal has deposed that some of the similarly placed drivers wereregularized w.e.f. June, 2001 and their increments were released on completion of one year of service and further increment were extended every year. He has deposed that the Party I drivers were deprived of these benefits on account of delay in regularization of their services. He has deposed that Party I drivers were entitled for regularization on completion of 240 days of continuous service. He has stated that the Party I drivers were appointed on regular vacancies and that they were recruited on permanent jobs and that to deny regularization and keep them on daily wages perpetually is unjust and arbitrary and amounts to unfair labour practice.

9. It may be mentioned here that AW1 Avinash Rawal had denied that the Party I drivers were appointed on daily wages due to increase in workload and growing absenteeism. He has also denied the suggestion that the Party I drivers were regularized as and when there were regular vacancies. It is however to be noted that the second witness of the Party I, Shri Andrew Lopes has admitted in his cross examination that the Party II had appointed temporary drivers on account of pressure of work. He has admitted that some of the drivers were regularized in different batches. The witness No. 2 Andrew Lopes has also admitted in his cross examination that the Party II had not assured the Party I drivers at the time of their appointment that their services would be regularized on completion of 240 days of continuous service. The evidence of this witness clearly fortifies the case of the Party II that the Party I drivers were appointed on daily wages only due to exigencies of work and consequently the evidence of AW2 Andrew Lopes belies the

contention of the AW1 Avinash Rawal that these drivers were appointed on existing vacancies.

10. It is also to be noted that though the Party I drivers have stated that they were entitled for regularization on completion of 240 days, they have not produced any appointment letter to show that the Party II had undertaken to regularize their services on completion of 3 months or 240 days. On the contrary the Party II has produced the appointment letter (Exb. 22) issued to the Party I drivers at Sr. No. 4, a perusal of which clearly indicates that the Party I driver, Suresh Lingudkar was appointed as a substitute driver on daily wages. The said appointment letter also indicates that the appointment was necessitated due to the temporary increase in work and that the services are liable to be terminated at any time during the temporary period of employment without assigning any reason. The evidence of Shri Anand Shirvoikar, the witness for the Party II, also indicates that these drivers were taken on daily wages only because of increase in work which was mainly because of absenteeism of regular drivers. The appointment letter at Exb. 22 viz-a-viz. The evidence of Shri Anand Shirvoikar clearly indicates that the Party I drivers were appointed purely on temporary basis. This being the case it is evident that the Party I drivers were not appointed on regular vacancies but were appointed as temporary workmen within the meaning of Clause 3 (c) of the Certified Standing Orders of the Corporation.

11. It is to be noted that since the Party I drivers were temporary workmen they had no right to the post and they were not entitled for regularization of their services merely because they had completed 240 days of continuous services. Even otherwise Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act does not stipulate regularization of services on completion of 240 days. In the case of *Gangadhar Pillai v/s Siemens Ltd.*, 2007 (1) SCC 533, the apex court has held that *"It is not the law that on completion of 240 days of continuous service in a year, the concerned employee becomes entitled to for regularization of his services and/or permanent status. The concept of 240 days in a year was introduced in the industrial law for a definite purpose. Under the Industrial Disputes Act, the concept of 240 days was introduced so as to fasten a statutory liabilities upon the employer to pay compensation to be computed in the manner specified in Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 before he is retrenched from services and not for any other purpose. In the event a violation of the said*

provision takes place, termination of services of the employee may be found to be illegal, but only on that account, his services cannot be directed to be regularized." Similarly in the case of *Mehboob Deepak v/s Nagar Panchayat Gajrauta* and reported in 2008 (1) SCC 575 and the case of *Branch Manager, M.P. State Agro Industries Development Corporation Ltd.*, and another *v/s S.C. Pandey* reported in 2006 (II) SCC 716 and M.P. Housing Board *v/s Manoj Shrivastava* (2006) 2 SCC 702 the apex court has reiterated that only because the employee has been working for more than 240 days he does not derive any legal right to be regularized in service.

12. Thus the principles laid down in the aforesaid decisions are sufficient to negate the contention of the Party I that they were entitled for regularization on completion of 240 days of continuous service. The evidence of witness for the Party II clearly indicates that though these drivers were appointed as temporary drivers they were given all benefits given to the permanent drivers and that the services of the Party I drivers were regularized as and when the vacancies arose and on considering the work performance of these drivers. This being the case there is no substance in the contention of the Party I drivers that the Party II had indulged in victimization or unfair labour practice. Hence, the issue No. 1 is answered in negative.

13. *Issue No. 2:* Since the Party I drivers were not entitled for regularization in service on completion of 240 days of continuous service they are not entitled for any relief as claimed. Under the circumstances and in view of discussion supra, I pass the following order.

ORDER

The demand of Kadamba Kamgar Union for regularization of the services of 28 workmen named in the reference on completion of 240 days of continuous service is not legal and justified. The Party I drivers are not entitled for any relief.

No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-

(A. Prabhudessai),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
-cum-Labour Court-I

Department of Law and Judiciary

Law (Establishment) Division

Notification by the High Court of Judicature

Appellate Side, Bombay

No. A. 3902/G/2009

The Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Hon'ble Judges are pleased to make the following postings:-

District Judges and Additional Sessions Judges

Sr. No.	Name and present posting	New posting
1	Shri B. P. Deshpande, District Judge-1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Mapusa, District Paraji	District Judge-1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Paraji vice Shri Desmond S. D'Costa transferred.
2	Shri Agha Irshad District Judge-3 and Additional Sessions Judge, Paraji	District Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Paraji vice Shri P. V. Kamat transferred.
3	Smt. B. K. Thaly, District Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Margao	District Judge-1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Mapusa, District Paraji vice Shri B. P. Deshpande transferred.
4	Shri Desmond S. D'Costa, District Judge-1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Paraji	District Judge-1 and Additional Sessions Judge, Margao vice Shri D. K. Gaikwad repatriated in Maharashtra Judiciary.
5	Shri P. V. Sawaikar, District Judge-3 and Additional Sessions Judge, Margao	District Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Margao vice Smt. B. K. Thaly transferred.
6	Shri P. V. Kamat, District Judge-2 and Additional Sessions Judge, Paraji	District Judge-3 and Additional Sessions Judge, Margao vice P. V. Sawaikar.

Ad hoc District Judge and Assistant Sessions Judge

Sr. No.	Name and present posting	New posting
7	Shri N. S. Amonkar, Ad hoc District Judge-1 and Assistant Sessions Judge, Margao	Ad hoc District Judge-1 and Assistant Sessions Judge, Mapusa, District Paraji.

High Court, Bombay.
Dated: 20th May, 2009.

A. I. S. Cheema,
Registrar General.

Department of Personnel

Order

No. 6/2/2005-PER(A)

Read: Order No. 6/2/2005-PER dated 26-05-2009.

Shri Jayant G. Tari under orders of transfer as Deputy Collector & SDO, Ponda vide Order read above shall hold the charge of the post of Chief Officer, Ponda Municipal Council, in addition to his duties.

Consequently, Shri Devidas S. Gaonkar, Junior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service transferred and posted as Chief Officer, Ponda Municipal Council, shall report to Personnel Department for further posting.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 26th May, 2009.

Order

No. 7/1/99-PER

On the recommendation of Departmental Promotion Committee as conveyed by the Goa Public Service Commission vide its letter No. COM/II/11/19(1)/2000/78 dated 18-3-2009, Governor of Goa is pleased to promote Shri Sadashiv M. Paranjape, Inspector of Factories, to the post of Chief Inspector of Factories & Boilers (Group 'A' Gazetted) in the Pay Band 3- Rs. 15,600-39,100+ Grade Pay Rs. 6,600/- with immediate effect.

He shall be on probation for a period of two years from the date of joining.

He shall exercise option for pay fixation within a period of one month from the date of issue of this order in terms of F. R. 22 (I) (a) (1).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 26th May, 2009.

Order

No. 7/18/75-PER

Consequent upon retirement of Shri Rajan V.S Kunkolienkar, Director of Accounts, on superannuation with effect from 31-05-2009 (afternoon), Shri Shripad G. Naik, Joint Director of Accounts shall hold charge of the post of Director of Accounts, on officiating basis, in addition to his own duties, with effect from 01-06-2009 and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 27th May, 2009.

Order

No. 7/7/2009-PER

In pursuance to the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs Order No. 14016/4/2009-UTS.I dated 12-02-2009, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri Gonesh Koyu, IAS (AGMU:91) as the Secretary to the Government of Goa, with effect from 12-05-2009 (a.n.) and allot him the following work/Departments as indicated below with immediate effect:-

- 1 Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services.
- 2 Fisheries.
- 3 Rural Development.

Shri Gonesh Koyu, IAS (AGMU:91), reported to this Administration on 12-05-2009 (a.n.).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 29th May, 2009.

Department of Power

Office of the Chief Electrical Engineer

Order

No. CEE/Estt-1-11-81/Trans (EE-A)/930

Government is pleased to order the transfer and posting of the following Executive Engineers (Elect.) of Electricity Department, as shown below, in public interest, with immediate effect:-

Sr. No.	Name of the officer	Present posting	Posted on transfer
1	Shri G. V. Prasad	Executive Engineer of Commercial Section, O/o Chief Electrical Engineer, Panaji	Executive Engineer of Electrical Division XII, Xeldem, vice Shri Lekshmanan S. transferred.
2	Shri Lekshmanan S.	Executive Engineer of Electrical Division XII, Xeldem	Executive Engineer of Commercial Section, O/o Chief Electrical Engineer, Panaji, vice Shri G. V. Prasad transferred.

The officer listed at Sr. No. 1 above should move first.

They should report to the new place of posting immediately.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Nirmal Braganza, ex officio Addl. Secretary.

Porvorim, 27th May, 2009.

Department of Science, Technology & Environment,

Notification

No. 5/20/87-STE/(Part-II)/239

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-sections (1) and (2) of Section 4 of the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 (Central Act 6 of 1974), the Government of Goa is pleased to re-constitute the Goa State Pollution

Control Board (GSPCB) with immediate effect. The composition of the Board shall be as follows:-

1. Dr. Simon N. De Souza, Ex. Dy. ... Chairman.
Director/Scientist 'F', National
Institute of Oceanography,
Dona Paula
2. Chief Conservator of Forests, ... Member.
Forest Department, Panaji
3. Chief Engineer, Water ... Member.
Resources Department, Panaji
4. Director, Directorate of ... Member.
Industries, Trade & Commerce
Panaji
5. Director, Directorate of Mines ... Member.
& Geology, Panaji
6. Director, Directorate of Tech- ... Member.
nical Education, Porvorim
7. Mr. Gurunath Laad, Councillor, ... Member.
Margao Municipal Council,
Margao
8. Mrs. Radha Kavlekar, Councillor, ... Member.
Margao Municipal Council,
Margao
9. Shri Saifullah B. Khan, ... Member.
Councillor, Mormugao Municipal
Council, Mormugao
10. Shri Basilio Fernandes, Member ... Member.
Village Panchayat of Camurlim,
Salcete
11. Shri Ramkrishna Kerkar, ... Member.
Member, Village Panchayat
of Candolim, Bardez
12. Shri Caesar Menezes, President, ... Member.
Goa Chamber of Commerce &
Industries, Panaji
13. Shri Atul Naik, President, Goa ... Member.
Small Industries Association,
Panaji
14. Shri Jose Manuel Noronha, ... Member.
Baghbat, Raia, Salcete
15. Managing Director, Goa ... Member.
Industrial Development
Corporation, Panaji

16. Managing Director, Goa ... Member.
State Infrastructure
Development Corporation,
Panaji

17. Shri Srinet Kotwale, Goa ... Member
Civil Service Secretary.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Michael M. D'Souza, Director, ex officio
Jt. Secretary (SIE).

Saligao, 8th June, 2009.

◆◆◆

Department of Social Welfare
Directorate of Social Welfare

—

Order

No. DSW/STAT/TSP/2009-10/14/986

Government is pleased to constitute Tripartite
Committee under the Chairmanship of Hon'ble
Minister for Social Welfare consisting of the
following members:

- | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Hon'ble Minister for | Chairman. |
| Social Welfare | |
| 2. Shri Vassudeo M. Gaonkar | Member. |
| 3. Shri Babusso Gaonkar | Member. |
| 4. Shri Kishore Parwar | Member. |
| 5. Shri Luis Alex Cardozo | Member. |
| 6. Secretary, Finance/Planning | Member. |
| 7. Secretary, Social Welfare | Member. |
| 8. Director of Social Welfare | Member
Secretary. |

The Committee shall meet twice a year under
the Chairmanship of Hon'ble Minister for Social
Welfare to review the implementation of Scheduled
Caste Sub Plan & Tribal Sub Plan and also to
suggest the remedial measures to different line
departments to ensure effective implementation
of SC Sub Plan & Tribal Sub Plan.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

N. B. Narvekar, Director of Social Welfare &
ex officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 20th May, 2009.

www.goagovt.nic.in/gazette.htm

GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS,
PANAJI-GOA.

PRICE-Rs. 24.00